



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,832	12/17/2003	Hee-Kwan Son	8947-0000063/US	5440
30593	7590	12/02/2008		
HARNESS, DICKY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. BOX 8910 RESTON, VA 20195			EXAMINER	
			NGO, CHUONG D	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2193		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		12/02/2008		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

**Advisory Action  
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief**

<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
10/736,832	SON, HEE-KWAN	
<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
Chuong D. Ngo	2193	

**-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -**

THE REPLY FILED **10 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.**

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a)  The period for reply expires **5** months from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**NOTICE OF APPEAL**

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

**AMENDMENTS**

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because  
 (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  
 (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);  
 (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  
 (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) rejected: 8-41 and 51-55.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-7,42-50 and 56-61.

**AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE**

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fail to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

**REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER**

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 06/05/2008

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Chuong D Ngo/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claims 20 and 22 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding to withdrawn claims 1-7,42-50, and 56-61, it respectfully submitted that MPEP 821.02 does not require but only suggests that the claims to the non-elected invention should be treated by using form paragraph 8.05 in each subsequent action. Further, It was clearly stated in the Office action dated 10/15/2007 that the restriction requirement was made FINAL, and claims 1-7,42-50 and 56-61 were withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention. The final Office action date 06/11/2008 also repeated the withdrawal of 1-7,42-50 and 56-61 from consideration as clearly indicated in the Office Action Summary, and required applicant to cancel nonelected claims or to take appropriate action according to 37 CFR 1.44 and MPEP 821.01. Therefore, a complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Regarding to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101, it is respectfully submitted that the recitation "in a cryptosystem" has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Regarding to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a), it is respectfully submitted that "a carry-save adder" referred in the rejection is the combination of the two 3 to-2 carry-save adders that form a 4 to 2 carry-save adder as in figure 5 of Wang et al and that correspond to the claimed "accumulator". It should be noted that figure 5 is illustrated in word level as figure 2 of the present invention. The figure if illustrated in bit level would show a plurality of bit slices as that of figure 5 of the present invention, and each bit slice (a combination two 3 to 2 bits carry save adder forming a 4 to 2 carry save adder bit slice) would correspond to a claimed "compressor" that would clearly receive a multiple modulus (a multiple of N from MUXs), a partial product (a multiple of B from MUXs), a corresponding current sum and a corresponding current carry (the feedback from the carry save adder), and producing a corresponding next sum and a corresponding next carry (the output from the carry save adder) as claimed.